# TRANSLATION PATENT COOPERATION TREATY POT INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  11365p	FOR FURTHER ACT	ION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No.  PCT/DE2004/002424	International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 07.11.2003					
		1						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC  . B65D41/04 B29C43/18 B29C43/14 B29C31/04								
Applicant  AMCOR Limited								
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2. This REPORT consists of a total	al of	sheets, including	g this cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprising:							
a. (sent to the applican	nt and to the International Burea	u) a total of 6	sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).								
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental								
	Box.  b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))							
	(							
, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).								
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following items:							
Box No. I Basis	of the report							
Box No. II Priori	ty							
Box No. III Non-e	establishment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack	of unity of invention							
Don't to.	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
Box No. VI Certai	n documents cited							
Box No. VII Certai	n defects in the international app	olication						
Box No. VIII Certai	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report								
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		thorized officer						
Facsimile No.	Te	lephone No.						

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/002424

Box	x No. I		Basis of the report						
1.			I to the language, this report is based on the internation der this item.	nal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise				
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:  international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))								
			publication of the international application (Rule 12.4	()					
			international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and						
2.	recei	With regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the ecciving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to his report):  The international application as originally filed/furnished							
	$\boxtimes$		escription:						
		pages	1-31		as originally filed/furnished				
		pages		received by this Authority on					
		pages							
	$\boxtimes$	the cla							
					as originally filed/furnished				
		nos.*	•	as amended (togethe	er with any statement) under Article 19				
			1_26		24.08.2005 with letter				
			1-26						
	$\square$	nos.*		received by this Authority on					
			awings:						
		sheets	1/11-11/11		as originally filed/furnished				
		sheets	,** 	received by this Authority on					
		sheets	,* 	received by this Authority on					
1		a sequ	nence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem	ental Box Relating to Sequence I	Listing.				
3.		The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
			the description, pages						
			the claims, nos.						
			the drawings, sheets/figs						
			the sequence listing (specify):						
			any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
4.			report has been established as if (some of) the amend have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi						
			the description, pages						
			the claims, nos.						
			the drawings, sheets/figs						
			the sequence listing (specify):						
			any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
*	If ite		plies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup						

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/002424

Box	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement					
	Novelty	'(N)	Claims	YES		
			Claims 1, 14, 20, 26	NO		
	Inventiv	ve step (IS)	Claims	YES		
			Claims 2-13, 16-19, 21-23	NO		
	Industri	al applicability (IA	Claims 1-26	YES		
			Claims	NO		
2.	Citations ar	nd explanations (Ru	le 70.7)			
	1.	Referen	ce is made to the following documents	:		
		D1 US	-A-3 325 035			
		D2 US-	-A-6 142 325			
		D3 US-	-A-4 021 524			
		Claim 1				
	2.	D1 disc	loses (see column 4, line 41 to colum	n 6,		
		line 22	and the drawings) a:			
		a) met	thod for forming a sealing and occlud	ing.		
		Z ()	ne which is provided with a compound	in a		
		ca	80 with an inner panel made of a me	tallic		
		mat	erial (see "steel blank 80"), wherei	n		
		b) two	different methods for applying two			
		COI	mpound layers are successively used (	see		
		fi	gures 5 and 6: "spray nozzle 83" and			
		<b>"</b> d:	spenser 24"),			
		c) fo:	applying a first compound 92 using	the		
		fi	st method and for applying a second			

compound 90 using the second method,

the compounds 92, 90 being different (see

"foamed polyvinylchloride 90" and "non-

d)

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

foaming plastisol 92"),

- e) the two compound layers 92, 90 extending in different geometrical areas of the cap 80 in the edge section thereof with sealing and occluding zones (see figures 1 to 4).
- 3. The method as per claim 1 thus lacks novelty (PCT Article 33(2)).
- 4. D2 also discloses a method involving the essential aspects of features a) to d) specified above (see column 3, line 23 to column 4, line 34; column 6, lines 41 to 57 and the drawings).

# Independent product claims 14, 26

5. The same objections as those raised against claim 1 apply.

### Claims 2 to 13 and 16 to 19

6. The features of these claims add nothing inventive, since they contain purely structural details which are already known fully or in part from the prior art or fall under that which a person skilled in the art routinely does on the basis of familiar considerations.

## Independent device claim 20

7. D3 (see column 3, line 44 to column 3, line 29 and figures 1 to 4) discloses:

PCT/DE2004/002424

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- a) an annular punch 14 for shaping and displacing a compound pre-form in a substantially cylindrical compound layer 16 of an occluding zone 19 of a cap 20 in the edge area thereof (see figure 1), wherein
- b) the compound pre-form is shaped essentially only in the axial direction as a compound which is applied to the periphery of an apron area of the cap 20 (see figure 2), wherein
- d) the annular punch 14 has a trunk section and connected thereto an end section, the end section being narrower than the trunk section (see figure 2: gradual tapering of 14), wherein
- e) the annular punch 14 has a radially outer, axially extending recess for receiving and changing the position and shape of the preform of the applied compound in the apron area of the cap 20 (see figures 2 and 4: uppermost tapered step of 14), and wherein
- the end section forms a blocking element which prevents the compound pre-form from flowing radially inwards over a radially inner end of the end section during the change (see figure 2, the upper section of the punch 14 works with the upper part 21 of the cap 20 to prevent the material 16 from flowing radially inwards).
- 8. The subject matter of claim 20 thus lacks novelty (PCT Article 33(2)).

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/002424

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

# Claims 21 to 23

- 9. The features of these claims add nothing inventive, since they contain purely structural details which are already known fully or in part from the prior art or fall under that which a person skilled in the art routinely does on the basis of familiar considerations.
- 10. Claims 1 to 26 meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(4) with respect to industrial applicability.

# Clarity

- 11. Claims 14 and 26 were drafted as separate, independent product claims. However, they actually appear to refer to one and the same subject matter and clearly differ only in that they give different definitions of the subject matter for which protection is sought. The claims are therefore not concise. For this reason claims 14 and 26 do not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6.
- 12. The terms "Area2", "Area3", "moulding method", "moulding compound method" and "moulded compound" in the claims and the description have no generally recognised meaning and leave the reader uncertain as to the meaning of the technical features in question. As a result, the subject matter is not clearly defined (PCT Article 6).

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/002424

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

## Claim 1

- 13. Claim 1, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement for clarity (PCT Article 6), since the feature "two different application methods" is not clear. Figures 6a and 6b show that what happens is a compression moulding of "compound A" using punch 50 and a compression moulding of "compound B" using punch 40, i.e. two of the same compression moulding method or two of the same shaping method. The term "application method" is not used correctly. It is not clear how the "formation of sealing and occluding zones" can be achieved merely by applying sealing compounds A and B, that is without a shaping method.
- 14. Claim 1, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement for clarity (PCT Article 6), since the feature whereby "the two compound layers (A, B) extend in different geometrical areas (Area2, Area3) of the cap in the edge area (R) thereof with sealing and occluding zones (10D, 10V)" is not clear. In order to obtain a continuous seal, it appears essential for the two compound layers to extend in "adjacent" areas.
- 15. Claim 1 does not clearly define the term "edge area". According to claim 1, the term "edge area" covers the zones described as "Area 2" and "Area 3" or 10D and 10V, that is the area radially outside a covering reflector or panel; see also

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

page 1, line 21 of the description. According to claim 14, however, the term "edge area" covers only the occluding region 10V (see claim 14, line 16).

### Claim 2

16. Claim 2, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement for clarity (PCT Article 6), since it is not specified how the "formation of a sealing and occluding zone" can be achieved by rotation. The application method involving injection followed by rotation of the cap is aimed at positioning "compound A" in the cap, not at shaping. The claimed "formation of a sealing and occluding zone", that is the compression moulding of "compound A", as specified in the description, cannot be achieved by rotation of the cover, since compound A would be pressed by the rotation against apron 4.

# Claims 6 to 9 and 24, 25

- 17. The features in method claims 6 to 9 and 24 to 26 relate to a cap, rather than providing clear delimitations for the method itself.
- 18. It is not clear from claims 6 to 9 how a groove or a combined groove 22, 20a, 20 can be produced directly under the cap 1.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

# Product claims 14 to 19 and 26

- 19. The embodiments as per figures 5a to 12b are not covered by the scope of protection of claim 14, since they do not show a peripheral groove.
- 20. In contrast to the embodiments in claims 14 to 19 and 26, the reference signs 20 and 21 do not appear to depict a peripheral channel in the drawings. In addition, feature a) in claim 14 is unclear, since no overlap of layers A and B in a groove is visible in the drawings.

# Independent product claim 26

- 21. Claim 26, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement for clarity (PCT Article 6), since it does not disclose the feature of "two layers of compound", which is indicated in the description (see page 2, lines 36 and 37) as being essential.
- 22. Since independent claim 26 does not disclose this feature, it does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6 in conjunction with PCT Rule 6.3(b) that each independent claim must include all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

#### Device claims 20 to 23

23. Claim 20, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6, since it is missing

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

features that are essential for defining the invention (see page 2, lines 36 and 37, "two layers of compound").

- 24. Claim 20, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6, since feature (iii) is unclear. Structural features relating to the front section which acts as a "block" and which define the interaction between that section and the cover or a countermould in order to achieve the desired effect (blocking) are missing.
- 25. Claim 20, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6, since the wording "shaping and displacing" is not clear.

# Same terminology

- 26. Claims 1 to 26, as currently worded, also do not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6, since they do not use the same terminology:
  - the edge area is defined differently, in claim 1: "edge area (R)" = "sealing and occluding zones (10; 10V, 10D)" = "geometrical areas (Area 2, Area 3)",
  - the occluding area is defined differently, in claim 1: "Area 3", "occluding zone 10V", in claim 7: "apron 4",
  - the sealing area is defined differently, in claim 1: "sealing zone (10V)", in claim 7: "edge (3a)".

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/002424

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Additional points

27. Contrary to PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite documents D1-D3 or indicate the relevant prior art disclosed therein.

28. Contrary to PCT Rule 6.3(b), claims 1, 14, 20 and 26 are not drafted in the two-part form.